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Abstract

Background: Varicose veins involve at least 20% in the world population. Our study is focusing on the clinical evaluation and management of varicose veins using newly advanced technique Endovenous Laser Ablation (EVLA) to improve the quality of patients care.

Purpose: To study the Endovenous Laser Ablation & concomitant injection foam Sclerotherapy for Treatment of Great Saphenous or Short Saphenous Varicose Veins.

Patients & Methods: A total 30 patients with primary varicose veins. Most of them were unilateral on right side 67%, GSV involved in 78.13% along its whole length, investigated, operated using diode laser 980 nm, under LA and injection sclerotherapy, their Final outcome evaluated. Results: In this study we include varicose veins patients GSV reflux; 93.75%, SSV reflux; 6.25% and injection sclerotherapy 15.63%, no recurrence in follows up. Interpretation & conclusion: EVLT with a 980-nm diode Laser system and concomitant injection foam Sclerotherapy of tributaries of Great Saphenous or Short Saphenous Varicose Veins is clinically safe, feasible, simple to perform, well accepted by patients and relatively atraumatic and well-tolerated technique without scar.
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Introduction

Three newer techniques have been developed as alternatives to open surgery to treat GSV incompetence. They have been advocated to offer benefits over open surgical stripping of the above knee portion of the GSV in eliminating sapheno-femoral reflux1,2,3 and in reducing complication rates, patient discomfort and the length of time before return to work4,3. These three techniques are foam injection sclerotherapy, Endovenous laser therapy and radio-frequency ablation.

Endovenous laser therapy and RFA require duplex ultrasound localization of the GSV for Endovenous insertion of the device, identification of the device at the sapheno-femoral junction and direct visualization of the device during treatment. Foam sclerotherapy is also carried out under ultrasound visualization. Foam sclerotherapy relies on the basic principle of inducing fibrosis of the vein and obliteration of the lumen by causing inflammation in the endothelial and sub-endothelial layers of the vein wall. The foam replaces blood in the vein, which enhances the efficacy of the sclerosing agent by reducing the volume of sclerosant required for treatment and increasing the effective surface area of the sclerosant in contact with the vein wall5,6,7.

Patients and Methods

This is a prospective study, enrolled 30 selected patients at Minia University, Complaining of GSV and/ or SSV varicosity with or without SFJ and/or SPJ reflux. The principle examination included detailed medical history of the disease, careful physical examination and venous duplex ultra-sound imaging.

Inclusion criteria:
• Adult patients with primary varicose veins which were symptomatic.
• GSV and SSV with reflux > 1 second on duplex ultrasound
- Primary varicose veins with GSV incompetence with or without SFJ reflux with or without active ulcer.
- Primary varicose veins with SSV incompetence with or without SPJ reflux with or without active ulcer.

**Exclusion criteria:**
- Current deep-vein Thrombosis or acute superficial-vein thrombosis; Post-thrombotic syndrome or occlusion of the femoral or iliac vein.
- GSV or SSV <3mm or > 15mm in diameter; Tortuous veins that were considered to be unsuitable for EVLA.
- Contra-indications to foam or to general/regional anesthesia which may be required for interventional surgery.
- Coagulation disorder, Peripheral arterial diseases; pregnant woman, those who were unable to ambulate.

DUS examination was performed using color coded duplex Mindray system™. The purpose was to measure the diameter of the superficial venous system, determine venous reflux and previous DVT or deep venous insufficiency.

**Technique:** The patient lie supine on the operative table and pre-operative duplex ultrasound is performed and the GSV, SSV is marked on the skin every 6 to 8 cm along its course from the proposed access site to the sapheno-femoral junction using skin marker. The patients were placed in anti-trendelenburg position on the table in order to minimize shrinkage of the vein. EVLT with 980 nm diode Laser (Fox™, Cherolase™ of ARC Laser Systems, Germany) was performed under tumescent anesthesia for all 30 patients. The GSV was cannulated at knee level via percutaneous needle puncture under ultra-sound guidance in 10 patients in other patients it had been cannulated at the ankle level.

**Figure (1) showing GSV , SSV marked enlargement in 2 different patients**

**Figure (2) clinical and duplex diagnosis of SSV varicosity and SPJ reflux**
Once the device is appropriately placed for ablation, the patient is placed in Trendelenburg position to facilitate vein emptying and peri-venous tumescent anesthesia is then delivered. Optimal delivery of this fluid into the saphenous space is accomplished under DUS examination.

The tumescent local anesthetic solution consists of 20 ml 1% lidocaine and 1 ml adrenaline (1:100000) diluted in 500 ml of cold (4°C) saline was applied peri-venously.

Further treatment by ultrasound guided sclerotherapy for the residual tributaries was required after 30% of procedure, the sclerosing agent solution is prepared for foam sclerotherapy, it is aspirated in a 10-mL syringe, the 10-mL syringe is connected to a 3-way cannula with a 10-mL syringe containing 7 mL of air; the syringes are rapidly depressed sequentially to create the foam (1:3) sclerosant to air volume ratio, or usually performed 1-3 weeks after EVLT; this was done in the out-patient clinic. The sclerosant used in this study was Aethoxysklerol™.

---

**Fig (3):** Under DUS scans guide-wire and sheath advancement till below SPJ.

**Fig (4):** Longitudinal and cross-sectional views of DUS during tumescence.

**Figure (5):** step by step concomitant injection foam sclerotherapy with EVLA using tessari technique
DUS criteria for successful treatment: At 1-week follow-up, an enlarged non-compressible GSV, with echogenic, thickened vein walls and no flow seen. At 3 and 6 months follow-up, an occluded GSV with substantial (50%) reduction in diameter.

Clinical evaluation was performed on all subjects at 1 week, 1, 3 and 6 months. Patients were asked about symptomatic relief at follow-up visits, particularly improvement or resolution of lower-extremity pain associated with venous insufficiency.

**Results**
The treatment was in the form of Endovenous Laser Ablation with or without injection sclerotherapy in the same session or in follow up visits.

The anatomical classification of varicose vein of the studied 30 patients is shown in and demonstrated in fig (8):

**Fig (7):** Pie chart showing percent of the affected limb. (N=32 limbs in 30 patients)

**Fig (8):** Pie chart showing anatomical classification of V.V in the studied patients.
On the colored duplex examination all the patients were found to have incompetent saphenous veins in one or both limbs, in this examination significant reflux was seen in the great saphenous veins and/or small saphenous vein. The colored duplex ultrasound determine the site of puncture the GSV either at the level of the knee or at the ankle according to the diameter of the vein as demonstrated in the bar chart of fig (9).

On the colored duplex examination all the patients were found to have incompetent saphenous veins in one or both limbs, in this examination significant reflux was seen in the great saphenous veins and/or small saphenous vein. The colored duplex ultrasound determine the site of puncture the GSV either at the level of the knee or at the ankle according to the diameter of the vein as demonstrated in the bar chart of fig (9).

Chemical sclerotherapy was performed for some of our cases with residual dilated tributary after EVLT. This was usually performed in the same session of EVLT the following table show the rate of injection in our patients.

![Fig (9): pie chart showing duplex study of the studied patients.](image)

![Figure (10) Bar chart Sclerotherapy in the studied patients](image)
Discussion
The Laser system used in this study was Fox™, Chrolase™ diode Laser 980 nm (A.R.C, German) which is one of the highest qualities Laser, mean energy applied was 70 J/cm. The choice of pulsed laser for EVLA was based on the description of the technique and the results reported by Min et al., the principle benefit of continuous withdrawal is a reduction in treatment time with no increase in complications. The basis of classification of varicose veins was according to CEAP classification and Revision of the CEAP classification.

In this study we use terms of volume of sclerosant, 5 patients received 1%, 2%, 3% polidocanol. Branch varicosities can have liquid or foam sclerosant placed into them appropriately. Volume is now based on diameter and length; different concentrations of sclerosant may be used according to vein size. Chemical sclerotherapy was performed in 5 limbs (15.625%) of our patients with residual tributaries in the same session of EVLT. P Ho et al., similarly performed sclerotherapy in 3 patients (12.5%) of his 24 patients at 8th week follow up and in 1 patient (4.1%) at 6th month.

Our early results 97% success with EVLT has been similar to Duran Mario. most patients needed additional complementary procedures such as sclerotherapy or phlebectomy as was published in a study by Robert J et al.,

Brittenden J et al., Considered that both the 6-month clinical outcomes and the estimated 5-year cost-effectiveness suggest EVLA to be the treatment of choice for suitable patients.

In this study superficial thrombophlebitis was preserved in 5 patient (16.6%) topical anti-inflammatory was prescribed and rapid improvement was noticed in the follow up, this was reported in several studies. Hyper-pigmentation developed in one patient over the thrombosed occluded GSV.

Conclusion
Endovenous procedures allow more efficient management of large numbers of out-patient treatment. EVLT with a 980-nm diode Laser system concomitant injection foam Sclerotherapy is clinically safe, feasible, simple to perform, well accepted by patients and relativelyatraumatic and well-tolerated technique without scar and allows people to return to their normal daily activities rapidly.
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